## KOREA AGRICULTURAL POLICY EXPERIENCES (KAPEX) ACADEMY

## ACTION PLAN TO DEVELOP DAIRY SECTOR IN UGANDA-04/07/2014 Background:

- On invitation from the Ministry of Agriculture, Food & Rural Affairs(MAFRA), a delegation of 8 officials from the Republic of Uganda undertook a study visit on livestock farming and management, which lasted from June 30<sup>th</sup> to July 4<sup>th</sup> 2014.
- The overall objective of this study visit was to strengthen policy development capabilities of the Ugandan officials and experts in livestock management.

- Under the framework of the KAPEX academy visiting program, the course was mainly composed of a series of lectures, discussions, workshops and on-site visits.
- As a result of the visit, the Ugandan delegation is submitting this action plan to Korea Rural Economic Institute (KREI) to discuss further on areas of collaboration and consideration.

## **Differences:**

- 1. The milk productivity in Korea is higher than the productivity in Uganda; Korea 30 litres per cow per day, Uganda 4.5 litres per cow per day for the general cow but for specific dairy breeds is about 10litres per cow per day.
- 2. Production system in Uganda is predominantly free range while in Korea it is strictly intensive.
- 3. Dairy cattle feeding in Uganda is predominantly on fresh pasture in the field compared to Korea which is on processed feed or dry ration.
- 4. Uganda is a net exporter of dairy products compared to Korea.
- 5. Uganda has a seasonal fluctuation in milk production based on weather patterns (high production in rainy season and low production in dry season)

#### Collaborative research and investment themes

- 1) Capacity building; Training Human Resource, Mindset change
- 2) Model farm; Feeds, Breeding and Value addition
- 3) Modern and well equipped Laboratory
- 4) Environmental preservation and protection
- 5) Breeding; Improvement of the genetic potential of the Uganda's Dairy herd
- 6) Quality assurance and certification
- 7) Value addition (Processing)

# Similarities between the Dairy sector in Uganda and Korea

- 1. Both countries have Dairy cooperatives
- 2. There has been a steady growth of the dairy industry and milk production.
- 3. The dominant dairy breed kept is the Holstein.
- 4. There is an enabling environment for the dairy industry investment a liberalized economy, regulatory environment etc.

- 5. Both countries have low processing capacity.
- 6. Consumption per capita of dairy products is still extremely low in both countries compared to the WHO/FAO standards of 200 liters per person per year
- 7. In both countries, the dominant animal for milk production is the cow.

## **Differences**

- 1. Most factories in Korea are HACCP-certified while some factories in Uganda are both HACCP and ISO certified.
- 2. The Ugandan Dairy value chain institutions need strengthening.
- 3. 70% of Ugandan milk is sold unprocessed.
- 4. Artificial Insemination uptake is low in Uganda
- 5. Rural livestock tourism in Uganda is still limited and yet many opportunities are still untapped.

## **Action Plan:**

No.	Thematic Areas	Proposed Action	Time Frame	Responsible Party
1.	Research & Development  Breeding  Feed Development  Cottage industry development  Dairy sector policy Research  Value Chain studies  Climate Change studies  Quality Assurance(Certificati on schemes)	•Technical staff attachments and studies •Build a critical mass of experts along the entire dairy value chain. •Research support in Ugandan universities and relevant institutions •HACCP •Product	<ul> <li>Short term</li> <li>Medium to long term</li> <li>Long term</li> <li>Medium</li> <li>Continuous</li> </ul>	•MAAIF •MAFRA •Universities •DDA •NAADS
		Development studies		

No.	Thematic <b>Areas</b>	Proposed Action	Time Frame	Responsible Party
2.	Infrastructure	•Establish a model	•Medium	•MAAIF
	Development	BMW	term	•MAFRA
	•Farm Machinery	facility(fertilizer)f		•DDA
	<ul> <li>Value Addition</li> </ul>	or adaptation by		•MTIC
	Equipment	farming		•NAADS
		communities.		
		•Acquire	•Short term –	
		appropriate farm	Long term	
		equipment; small		
		tractors, hay		
		harvestors&balers		
		etc		
		•Acquire cottage,	•Short term to	
		small and medium	long term	
		value addition		
		technologies;		
		yoghurt, cheese&		
		Ice cream etc		

\_\_\_\_\_

. . . . . . . .

No.	Thematic Areas	Proposed Action	Time Frame	Responsible Party
3.	Capacity Development •Human •Institution	<ul> <li>Attachments</li> <li>Short courses</li> <li>Msc and Phd</li> <li>Strengthen institutional capacity – public and non-public</li> </ul>	•Short term to Long term •Medium to Long term	<ul><li>•Universities</li><li>•MAAIF</li><li>•MAFRA</li><li>•DDA</li><li>•MTIC</li></ul>
4.	Policy Review and Development	•Review and update the agricultural and dairy policies	•Short term to Long term	<ul><li>Universities</li><li>MAAIF</li><li>MAFRA</li></ul>